

### REMARKS

The office action does not address the limitation added to claim 19 in the previous response. See office action at page 5. In other words, the rejection set forth on page 5 does not consider the added limitation. Thus, reconsideration of the rejection of claim 19 is requested.


Shiomoto does not teach using different concealment techniques for P-type and B-type frames as set forth in claims 11-13. The material cited at the bottom of column 8 and the top of column 9 in no way indicates B-type and/or P-type frames are subject to different error resilience techniques.

Therefore, reconsideration of the rejections of claims 11-13, 30, 31, and 33 is respectfully requested.

In view of these remarks, the indicated claims should now be in condition for allowance and the Examiner's prompt action in accordance therewith is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 18, 2004



---

Timothy N. Trop  
Registration No. 28,994  
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.  
8554 Katy Freeway, Suite 100  
Houston, TX 77024  
713/468-8880 [Phone]  
713/468-8883 [Fax]